Online Information Sharing: Attitudes and Trends

Authored by

mohammed looti

November 22, 2025

Attitudes Toward Online Information Sharing

Attitudes toward online information sharing represent a critical area of psychological inquiry, situated at the intersection of cognitive psychology, social behavior, and human-computer interaction. An attitude, in this context, is defined as a psychological tendency that is expressed by evaluating a particular entity with some degree of favor or disfavor. When applied to the digital environment, this refers to the predisposition, whether positive, negative, or ambivalent, that an individual holds regarding the act of disclosing personal data, opinions, experiences, or sensitive content across various internet platforms. The formation of these attitudes is complex, involving a dynamic interplay of perceived benefits (e.g., social connection, utility), perceived risks (e.g., privacy loss, surveillance), and the specific contextual factors of the sharing environment. Understanding these underlying attitudes is paramount, as they serve as powerful predictors of actual sharing behaviors, influencing everything from engagement on social media platforms to participation in e-commerce and health informatics systems.

The rise of Web 2.0 technologies has transformed information sharing from a passive reception model to an active participation model, making the disclosure of personal information an almost unavoidable aspect of modern life. Individuals now routinely share location data, purchase histories, biometric information, and deeply personal narratives, often without fully comprehending the long-term implications of such widespread disclosure. Consequently, attitudes toward sharing are frequently characterized by dissonance; users recognize the utility and convenience offered by data-driven services, yet simultaneously harbor significant reservations about the control and security of their disseminated information. This cognitive tension necessitates a detailed examination of the psychological mechanisms--the beliefs, feelings, and behavioral intentions--that coalesce to form stable, yet sometimes contradictory, attitudes toward digital self-disclosure.

This encyclopedia entry aims to systematically analyze the theoretical frameworks, key determinants, mediating factors, and resultant consequences associated with attitudes toward online information sharing. We will explore how established psychological models, such as the Theory of Planned Behavior, are adapted to explain digital behavior, focusing specifically on the role of perceived risk, the central function of trust in digital intermediaries, and the powerful influence of social and cultural norms. By dissecting the intricate psychological calculus that governs these decisions, we can better understand the societal implications of a perpetually connected and data-intensive world, providing necessary insights for both platform design and regulatory policy regarding data governance and user autonomy.

Theoretical Foundations of Information Sharing

The psychological study of information sharing attitudes is heavily grounded in established behavioral theories that seek to link attitudes to observable actions. Chief among these is the

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), which posits that an individual's behavioral intention (the immediate precursor to behavior) is determined by three core components: attitude toward the behavior (the personal evaluation of the act), subjective norms (the perceived social pressure to engage or not engage), and perceived behavioral control (the ease or difficulty of performing the behavior). In the context of online sharing, a positive attitude is fostered when an individual believes that sharing information will lead to desired outcomes, such as receiving social validation or accessing valuable services, thereby increasing the intention to share, provided they feel they have the necessary technical ability and control over the process.

Another highly relevant framework is the **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)**, which focuses specifically on the adoption and use of technology. TAM suggests that attitudes toward using a specific online platform are primarily determined by two key beliefs: **perceived usefulness** (the extent to which the user believes using the system will enhance their performance or life) and **perceived ease of use** (the degree to which the user believes using the system will be effort-free). If a social media platform is perceived as highly useful for maintaining relationships and simple to navigate, the resulting positive attitude strongly predicts engagement and, consequently, a higher propensity for information disclosure, even when privacy concerns are acknowledged. Variants of TAM, such as TAM2, further integrate social influence processes, recognizing that external variables significantly shape these core perceptions.

Furthermore, the **Social Exchange Theory (SET)** provides a powerful lens for analyzing the decision-making process underlying information sharing. SET views sharing as a calculated, rational transaction where individuals weigh the perceived costs against the perceived benefits. The benefits of sharing might include social capital, emotional support, reciprocity, or access to tailored services. The costs, conversely, involve the loss of control over data, the risk of misuse, or the psychological effort required to manage privacy settings. A positive attitude toward sharing is maintained only when the perceived rewards consistently and significantly outweigh the perceived risks and costs. When the balance shifts, perhaps due to a widely publicized data breach or an unpleasant personal experience, the attitude shifts negatively, leading to retraction or withdrawal of shared content.

Key Determinants of Information Sharing Attitudes

Attitudes toward information sharing are shaped by a complex matrix of intrinsic and extrinsic motivations. **Intrinsic motivations** are derived from internal psychological needs, such as the need for self-expression, identity formation, and belonging. For many users, online sharing is fundamentally about constructing and maintaining a desired digital identity. Positive attitudes are strongly correlated with the desire to self-present and to receive validation or feedback from peers, making the sharing act inherently rewarding. These internal drivers often propel the disclosure of highly personal, affective content, such as feelings or life updates, irrespective of strict utilitarian

benefits.

Conversely, **extrinsic motivations** are driven by external rewards or necessities. These include utilitarian benefits, such as receiving personalized recommendations, gaining access to free services (often in exchange for data), or achieving professional networking goals. For instance, the attitude toward sharing professional data on a platform like LinkedIn is highly positive because the perceived external reward (career advancement) is high, despite the inherent privacy risk. The type of information being shared often dictates the dominant motivation; sharing factual, professional data is typically extrinsically motivated, while sharing emotional, experiential data is predominantly intrinsically motivated.

Crucially, the formation of sharing attitudes often involves navigating the **risk/benefit paradox**. This paradox highlights the simultaneous existence of positive and negative evaluations of the sharing act. Individuals generally possess an optimistic bias, believing they are less susceptible to negative outcomes (e.g., identity theft, harassment) than the average user, thereby maintaining a positive attitude toward the benefits of sharing while minimizing the perceived severity of the risks. However, when highly salient risks are introduced, such as mandatory data requirements for essential services, the attitude becomes heavily ambivalent, reflecting a trade-off between mandatory participation and deep-seated privacy reservations.

The Central Role of Privacy Concerns and Trust

Among the most powerful negative determinants of online sharing attitudes are **privacy concerns**. These concerns reflect the individual's apprehension about the potential collection, use, and unauthorized disclosure of their personal data. Psychological research distinguishes between various levels of privacy concern. Dispositional privacy concern refers to a general, stable cautiousness an individual holds across different contexts, while situational privacy concern is specific to a particular platform or transaction. High levels of either type of concern are robustly correlated with negative attitudes toward information sharing and an increased likelihood of adopting protective behaviors, such as providing false information or restricting access through privacy settings.

The mediating factor that often determines whether privacy concerns translate into actual sharing cessation is **trust**. Trust acts as a psychological buffer against perceived risk. When users trust a platform (e.g., they believe the company is competent, benevolent, and adheres to ethical guidelines), they are more willing to overlook generalized privacy risks. This trust can be multifaceted, encompassing:

Trust in the technology provider: Belief in the platform's security measures and ethical data handling policies.
Trust in other users: Belief that shared information will not be misused by peers or network

contacts.
Trust in the regulatory environment: Confidence that governmental bodies will enforce data protection laws.

When trust is high, users develop a more positive attitude, often engaging in "trust-based sharing," where the perceived relationship with the platform mitigates the cognitive load of risk assessment.

Despite the documented importance of privacy concerns, the phenomenon known as the **Privacy Paradox** demonstrates a significant disconnect between stated attitudes and actual behavior. Many users express high levels of concern about data collection (a negative attitude component) but continue to engage in extensive and often careless information sharing (a positive behavioral outcome). This paradox is often explained by several factors, including the immediate gratification derived from sharing, the complexity of privacy policies, and the tendency for users to discount future, abstract risks in favor of current, tangible benefits. Furthermore, platforms often employ design tactics that exploit cognitive biases, making the default setting the sharing option, thereby subtly shaping passive attitudes toward disclosure.

Cognitive Biases and Heuristics in Sharing Decisions

Information sharing attitudes are often not the result of deliberate, rational calculation but rather the product of cognitive shortcuts, or heuristics. One prevalent bias is the **optimism bias** (or unrealistic optimism), where individuals systematically underestimate the probability of negative events happening to them personally. A user may acknowledge that data breaches occur, but they maintain a positive sharing attitude because they believe they are personally immune to identity theft or targeted cyberattacks, thus neutralizing the risk component in their attitude formation. This bias is particularly strong regarding low-probability, high-impact risks.

The **availability heuristic** also significantly impacts attitudes. This heuristic suggests that people base their judgment of risk frequency on how easily they can recall relevant examples. If a major data breach or privacy scandal is widely publicized and readily available in the media, a user's immediate attitude toward sharing might become temporarily more negative and cautious. Conversely, if negative incidents are rarely discussed or quickly forgotten, the perceived risk diminishes, allowing positive attitudes driven by utilitarian benefits to dominate the decision-making process. The salience and recency of information about risks disproportionately influence momentary attitudes.

Furthermore, attitudes are highly susceptible to **framing effects**. The way information is presented by the platform can drastically alter perceived risk and benefit. For example, framing data disclosure as "enhancing your experience" (a gain frame) fosters a more positive attitude than framing it as "giving up control of your data" (a loss frame). Similarly, the use of default settings represents a powerful behavioral nudge. When sharing is the default, users require cognitive effort

to opt out, leading many to accept the default option passively. This phenomenon suggests that many positive sharing attitudes are not actively chosen but are instead passively adopted through the subtle manipulation of cognitive load and attention.

Social and Cultural Influences on Sharing Behavior

Attitudes toward information sharing are profoundly social constructs. As dictated by the Theory of Planned Behavior, **subjective norms**--the perceived expectations and behaviors of important reference groups--are powerful predictors of sharing intentions. If an individual observes that their peers, family, or professional network are frequently and openly sharing information, they are likely to develop a more positive attitude toward the behavior themselves, driven by the desire for social conformity and integration. Social validation, received through likes, comments, and shares, reinforces this positive attitude, creating a feedback loop that encourages further disclosure.

Cultural factors also introduce significant variation in sharing attitudes. Research suggests that attitudes differ substantially between **individualistic cultures** (e.g., the United States, Western Europe) and **collectivistic cultures** (e.g., many Asian nations). Individualistic cultures often prioritize personal expression and self-promotion, fostering a generally positive attitude toward sharing content that highlights unique personal achievements. In contrast, collectivistic cultures may exhibit greater caution regarding personal disclosure, viewing the potential negative consequences (e.g., loss of face, harm to the group's reputation) as a higher cost, leading to more reserved and cautious sharing attitudes, particularly concerning sensitive or controversial topics.

The online environment is also a stage for **impression management**, which heavily influences sharing attitudes. Individuals develop specific sharing attitudes based on the perceived audience and the desired outcome of the interaction. They curate their information disclosure to construct a favorable public persona, tailoring the content and frequency of sharing to specific platforms (e.g., highly professional content on LinkedIn versus casual content on Instagram). The attitude here is instrumental: sharing is viewed positively insofar as it serves the goal of maintaining or improving social reputation and managing the expectations of various social circles, illustrating the profound connection between social identity and digital disclosure practices.

Consequences and Implications of Sharing Attitudes

The aggregate consequences of individual attitudes toward online information sharing are far-reaching, impacting personal well-being, economic activity, and regulatory policy. Positive, engaged attitudes facilitate robust data streams, which are essential for innovation, economic growth (e.g., personalized marketing, AI development), and the creation of valuable public goods (e.g., health tracking, urban planning). Furthermore, for individuals, positive attitudes enable the formation of strong social capital, leading to enhanced emotional support networks and reduced

feelings of isolation.

However, overly positive or careless attitudes carry significant risks. Excessive or uncritical sharing increases vulnerability to various forms of digital harm, including identity theft, financial fraud, targeted harassment, and algorithmic discrimination based on aggregated data profiles. Conversely, highly negative or excessively cautious attitudes, while protective of privacy, can lead to **digital exclusion**. Individuals who refuse to share necessary information may be unable to access essential digital services, participate fully in the digital economy, or benefit from personalized medical or educational resources, thereby widening the gap between the digitally integrated and the digitally hesitant.

Understanding the psychological determinants of these attitudes is crucial for policymakers and system designers. For platform developers, insight into the risk/benefit trade-off allows for the design of more ethical systems that prioritize user autonomy and transparency, moving away from manipulative dark patterns. For regulators, knowledge of the Privacy Paradox and the role of trust informs the creation of effective data protection legislation, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which aims to rebalance the control of information back toward the user by addressing the cognitive and structural factors that shape sharing attitudes. Future research must continue to explore the longitudinal stability of these attitudes and how new technologies, such as augmented reality and the metaverse, will further redefine the boundaries of acceptable digital self-disclosure.