Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome: Attitudes Toward Mothers

Authored by

mohammed looti

November 21, 2025

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammed looti (2025). *Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome: Attitudes Toward Mothers*. Psychepedia. Retrieved from https://psychepedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=25623

Attitudes toward Mothers of Newborn with Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome

Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS) is a collection of symptoms experienced by newborns following prenatal exposure to certain substances, most commonly opioids. While the medical management of NAS is highly standardized, the attitudes directed toward the mothers of these infants represent a significant and pervasive challenge within healthcare, social services, and the broader community. These attitudes are often characterized by profound **stigma**, moral judgment, and systemic bias, which profoundly affect maternal health outcomes, engagement in treatment, and the integrity of the mother-infant bond. Understanding the complexity of these attitudes requires moving beyond simplistic notions of blame and recognizing substance use disorder (SUD) as a chronic, relapsing medical condition, frequently exacerbated by underlying trauma and socioeconomic vulnerability. The prevailing societal narrative often frames the mother as solely responsible for the infant's suffering, thereby obscuring the critical need for compassionate, integrated, and evidence-based care models that support both the mother's recovery and the child's well-being.

The psychological and social landscape surrounding pregnant individuals with SUD is fraught with punitive measures and intense public scrutiny. This environment creates substantial barriers to care, as fear of legal repercussions, mandated reporting, or the potential loss of custody often prevents mothers from disclosing their substance use history to healthcare providers. Consequently, many vulnerable individuals forgo essential prenatal care, which increases risks not only for themselves but also for the developing fetus. The resulting NAS diagnosis becomes not merely a clinical event but a public marker of perceived maternal failure, triggering a cascade of negative interactions ranging from hostile glances in hospital settings to formal intervention by child protective services. Addressing these deeply ingrained negative attitudes is paramount to improving public health outcomes, necessitating a shift from a punitive framework to one rooted in therapeutic alliance and recovery support.

Furthermore, the term "attitude" encapsulates a spectrum of reactions, including overt discrimination, subtle microaggressions, and institutional policies that disproportionately affect this population. These attitudes are fueled by a lack of understanding regarding the neurobiological basis of addiction and the powerful correlation between substance use and adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) or ongoing trauma. Therefore, when discussing attitudes toward these mothers, it is essential to analyze the interplay between individual biases, professional ethics, and legislative frameworks. Only through a comprehensive analysis of these intersecting factors can effective interventions be designed to dismantle the stigma and facilitate a supportive environment conducive to long-term health and family reunification.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Societal Stigmatization and Moral Judgment

Societal attitudes toward mothers whose newborns experience NAS are overwhelmingly negative, deeply rooted in the moralization of addiction. This perspective views substance use during pregnancy not as a manifestation of a complex medical illness but rather as a deliberate moral failing or a criminal act of neglect. This judgment is amplified by the potent cultural idealization of motherhood, where any perceived deviation from the role of the "perfect mother" is met with severe social condemnation. The resulting stigma is exceptionally powerful because it combines the general disapproval of drug use with the specific moral outrage related to harming a defenseless child. This dual layer of judgment isolates these mothers, making them targets for public shaming and often leading to self-stigma, which further impedes help-seeking behaviors and recovery efforts. The focus remains heavily on blame, diverting attention from the systemic failures in providing accessible treatment, mental health support, and housing stability that often contribute to the substance use in the first place.

The language used in public discourse significantly reinforces these negative attitudes. Terms such as "drug baby," "addicted infant," or "crack mom" dehumanize both the child and the mother, reducing their complex realities to sensationalistic labels. This rhetorical pattern contributes to the public perception that these individuals are inherently dangerous or unworthy of empathy. Consequently, policymakers and legal systems often respond with punitive measures, such as mandatory testing, incarceration, or immediate termination of parental rights, rather than prioritizing medical treatment and family preservation services. This punitive approach, while seemingly aimed at protecting the child, often exacerbates the mother's dependence and increases the difficulty of achieving sustained recovery, thereby proving counterproductive to the ultimate goal of ensuring the child's stable future.

The impact of this pervasive societal judgment extends beyond personal suffering; it affects community integration and support networks. When mothers feel judged by neighbors, friends, or family members, they are less likely to disclose their struggles or participate in community-based recovery programs. This isolation is a critical risk factor for relapse and poor mental health outcomes. Furthermore, the stigma can extend to the infants themselves, who may face prejudice later in life due to their NAS diagnosis or the circumstances of their birth. Therefore, comprehensive strategies aimed at destigmatization must target public education, emphasizing the biological and trauma-informed aspects of SUD, and promoting compassion as a foundational component of community response.

Societal judgments often manifest in specific, harmful stereotypes about the capabilities and intrinsic worth of these mothers. These judgments include:

Inherent Incapacity: The belief that addiction renders the mother permanently incapable of providing adequate care, irrespective of her current recovery status or effort.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Moral Deficiency: The assumption that the mother prioritizes drugs over her child, signifying a fundamental flaw in character rather than a symptom of disease.

Irreversibility of Harm: The conviction that the harm caused during pregnancy is irreparable, negating the possibility of therapeutic intervention or successful recovery.

Healthcare Provider Bias and Clinical Implications

While healthcare settings should ideally be zones of non-judgmental care, research consistently demonstrates that mothers of infants with NAS frequently encounter significant bias from medical professionals. This bias can be explicit, involving hostile communication or refusal of services, but is often implicit, manifesting as subtle differences in the quality of care provided. Implicit bias can lead providers to assume non-compliance, minimize the mother's reported pain (especially related to labor and postpartum recovery), or fail to offer necessary resources like lactation support or mental health referrals. The perception that these mothers are deceitful or intentionally negligent compromises the therapeutic relationship, which is essential for effective prenatal and postnatal care. This lack of trust creates a vicious cycle where mothers are reluctant to engage honestly, leading to incomplete medical histories and potentially poorer management of both the mother's SUD and the infant's NAS symptoms.

Clinical settings frequently lack adequate training in trauma-informed care and addiction medicine, further complicating interactions. Providers may focus exclusively on the substance use itself, neglecting the high prevalence of co-occurring mental health disorders, domestic violence, and histories of sexual abuse that characterize this population. When care is not trauma-informed, routine medical procedures or questioning can inadvertently re-traumatize the patient, leading to dissociation, defensiveness, or premature departure from treatment. Effective care requires providers to recognize that substance use often serves as a maladaptive coping mechanism for overwhelming psychological distress. Without this understanding, interactions remain focused on control and compliance rather than healing and empowerment, reinforcing the mother's sense of shame and isolation within the healthcare system.

The manifestation of provider bias has direct, measurable clinical consequences for both mother and child. For the mother, biased care can result in suboptimal pain management, inadequate screening for postpartum depression, and reluctance to initiate or maintain Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) for opioid use disorder due to provider skepticism or judgment. For the infant, provider attitudes can influence decisions regarding discharge planning, involvement of social services, and the perceived appropriateness of the mother serving as the primary caregiver during the NAS withdrawal period. A key consequence is the premature involvement of Child Protective Services (CPS) based on provider suspicion rather than objective risk assessment, which can severely destabilize the family unit and delay the infant's transition home.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

The specific clinical consequences of healthcare provider bias include:

Differential Treatment: Mothers may receive less thorough medical examinations or experience delays in receiving necessary interventions compared to non-substance-using patients.

Erosion of Trust: A breakdown in the provider-patient relationship, leading to reduced disclosure of critical medical information by the patient.

Exclusion from Family-Centered Care: Mothers are sometimes marginalized during the infant's NAS treatment, denied opportunities for skin-to-skin contact or direct involvement in comfort measures, despite evidence supporting these practices.

Increased Stress and Anxiety: The feeling of being monitored, judged, or treated punitively increases maternal stress, which can negatively affect breastfeeding success and overall recovery trajectory.

Media Representation and Public Perception

Media representation plays a crucial role in shaping and perpetuating negative public attitudes toward mothers of newborns with NAS. News reports, documentaries, and fictional narratives often adopt a highly sensationalized and emotionally charged approach, focusing almost exclusively on the tragic outcomes for the infant while minimizing or ignoring the complex social and medical context of the mother's addiction. This type of coverage typically employs frightening imagery and judgmental language, reinforcing the stereotype of the reckless, irresponsible mother and transforming the individual into a cautionary tale. By simplifying the narrative to one of moral failure, the media effectively distracts the public from demanding systemic solutions, such as increased funding for integrated maternal SUD treatment programs.

This persistent negative framing creates a hostile environment that validates punitive attitudes among the general public and policymakers. When the media consistently portrays these women as criminals rather than patients, it becomes easier for society to justify policies that mandate incarceration or immediate family separation. Furthermore, the media rarely covers successful recovery stories or highlights the importance of evidence-based treatments like MAT. This imbalance reinforces the fatalistic belief that addiction is incurable and that these mothers are beyond redemption, thereby undermining public support for recovery resources and harm reduction strategies. The absence of nuanced, humanizing stories solidifies the perception that the mothers are fundamentally different from the rest of the population, justifying their isolation and marginalization.

Conversely, responsible media reporting has the potential to educate the public and foster empathy. When journalists adopt person-first language, consult addiction specialists, and explore

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

the root causes of SUD--such as poverty, lack of access to healthcare, and histories of trauma--they contribute to a more accurate and compassionate public understanding. Shifting the focus from individual blame to systemic challenges can mobilize support for public health initiatives that prioritize maternal and child welfare. However, the commercial pressure for high-impact, dramatic stories often overrides the commitment to factual accuracy and ethical reporting, leaving the negative stereotypes largely unchallenged in mainstream discourse.

The Role of Trauma and Substance Use Disorder (SUD)

A deeper understanding of attitudes toward mothers with NAS requires acknowledging the powerful link between substance use disorder and unresolved trauma. Extensive epidemiological data confirm that a vast majority of women struggling with SUD have experienced significant adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), including physical, sexual, or emotional abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. For these individuals, substance use is frequently a form of self-medication--an attempt to manage the overwhelming symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), chronic anxiety, and depression. Viewing SUD solely as a choice or a moral failing ignores this critical etiology, preventing the application of effective, trauma-informed treatment modalities.

When healthcare providers or social workers maintain judgmental attitudes, they fail to recognize that the mother is often a survivor first and a patient second. This lack of recognition inhibits the development of trust necessary for disclosure and therapeutic progress. A trauma-informed approach, conversely, recognizes the pervasive impact of trauma, emphasizes physical and emotional safety, and seeks to empower the patient by fostering collaboration and choice. Without this framework, judgmental attitudes perpetuate the cycle of trauma and addiction. For example, hostile questioning about drug use can trigger feelings of shame and vulnerability similar to past abusive experiences, leading the mother to withdraw from care, thus confirming the provider's initial negative bias regarding compliance.

Furthermore, SUD is recognized by major medical organizations as a chronic brain disease characterized by compulsive use despite harmful consequences. This medical understanding contrasts sharply with the popular attitude that addiction is a lack of willpower. Shifting the public and professional attitude requires extensive education on the neurobiological changes that occur with chronic substance exposure, which fundamentally alter decision-making and impulse control pathways. By framing SUD as a treatable medical condition, attitudes can move from condemnation to clinical intervention, focusing resources on access to MAT, counseling, and long-term recovery support, rather than surveillance and punishment. This approach humanizes the patient and validates their struggle, making recovery a more attainable goal.

Strategies for Reducing Stigma and Improving Care

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Effective reduction of negative attitudes toward mothers of infants with NAS requires a multi-pronged approach targeting professional education, institutional policies, and public awareness campaigns. At the core of all interventions must be the mandatory adoption of trauma-informed care principles across all settings--maternity wards, pediatric units, social work offices, and judicial systems. This means training personnel to understand how trauma affects behavior and prioritizing patient safety, trust, and collaboration above punitive measures. Institutions must also implement standardized screening protocols for SUD and mental health issues, ensuring that positive screens lead immediately to supportive intervention rather than automatic reporting to child protective services, unless explicit danger is present.

A crucial strategy involves changing the language used by professionals and the public. Implementing person-first language--such as "person with substance use disorder" rather than "addict"--decouples the individual's identity from their disease, fostering respect and dignity. Similarly, replacing judgmental clinical terms like "drug-exposed infant" with factual medical terminology like "newborn with prenatal substance exposure" or "newborn with NAS" minimizes the moral weight carried by the diagnosis. Educational initiatives targeted at healthcare providers must specifically address implicit bias through simulation training and guided reflection, helping staff recognize and mitigate their own unconscious prejudices that affect clinical decision-making.

Policy changes must support family preservation and recovery. This includes advocating for integrated care models where obstetrics, addiction treatment, mental health services, and pediatric care are housed under one roof or closely coordinated. These models, often termed "Mother and Baby Units" or comprehensive perinatal SUD programs, have demonstrated success in improving both maternal engagement and infant outcomes by providing holistic, non-judgmental support. Furthermore, legal and social service frameworks must prioritize treatment and recovery over immediate criminalization or family separation, recognizing that stable, supported recovery offers the best long-term outcome for the child.

Specific actionable strategies for positive change include:

Mandatory Education: Implementing required training on addiction as a chronic disease and the principles of trauma-informed care for all hospital staff.

Peer Support Integration: Utilizing certified peer recovery specialists--individuals who have lived experience with SUD--to provide emotional support, advocacy, and guidance to mothers navigating recovery and child welfare systems.

Policy Review: Systematically reviewing institutional policies to eliminate practices that disproportionately punish mothers with SUD, such as discriminatory visitation rules or automatic referral protocols.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Public Campaigns: Launching public health campaigns designed to demystify addiction, highlight the connection between trauma and SUD, and promote empathy and community support.

Conclusion and Future Research Directions

The attitudes directed toward mothers of newborns with NAS represent a significant barrier to effective public health intervention and compassionate care. These attitudes, fueled by societal stigma, moral judgment, and professional bias, often lead to punitive actions that undermine maternal recovery, discourage engagement with essential healthcare services, and ultimately threaten the stability of the family unit. Recognizing substance use disorder as a chronic medical condition, often rooted in trauma, is the fundamental prerequisite for shifting attitudes from condemnation to care. The implementation of trauma-informed principles, coupled with targeted education and systemic policy reform, is essential to creating an environment where these vulnerable mothers feel safe, supported, and empowered to pursue recovery alongside their parenting responsibilities.

Future research must focus on rigorously evaluating the efficacy of anti-stigma interventions within clinical settings. Studies are needed to measure the long-term impact of integrated care models versus traditional, siloed treatment approaches on both maternal sobriety rates and child developmental outcomes. Furthermore, research should explore how legislative and judicial policies--particularly those concerning mandated reporting and parental rights termination--can be reformed to prioritize therapeutic intervention and family reunification over punitive measures, ensuring that the legal system operates in alignment with public health goals. Understanding the lived experience of these mothers through qualitative research can also provide invaluable insights into developing more effective, patient-centered support systems.

Ultimately, improving attitudes toward mothers of newborns with NAS is not merely an exercise in political correctness; it is a clinical and ethical imperative. When society and healthcare systems embrace compassion, prioritize treatment over punishment, and dismantle structural barriers to care, the outcomes for both mother and child dramatically improve. The goal must be to foster an environment of healing that supports the mother's journey toward recovery while simultaneously securing the best possible start in life for the infant affected by NAS.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM