Attitudes Toward Women: A Sociological Perspective

Authored by

mohammed looti

November 29, 2025

Introduction to Attitudes Toward Women

Attitudes toward women constitute a critical area of inquiry within social psychology, sociology, and gender studies, examining the complex evaluative judgments individuals hold regarding women as a social group, their roles in society, and their perceived capabilities. These attitudes are not merely simple opinions but deeply rooted psychological constructs comprising cognitive (beliefs and stereotypes), affective (emotions), and behavioral components (predispositions to act). Understanding these evaluations is essential because they profoundly influence social interactions, dictate opportunities, justify systemic inequalities, and shape the overall social structure concerning gender roles and power distribution. The study of attitudes toward women has evolved significantly, moving past monolithic concepts of prejudice to acknowledge the nuanced, often contradictory, nature of how women are perceived and treated across various cultural contexts.

The psychological study of attitudes toward women is inextricably linked to the broader phenomena of gender stereotypes and sexism. While traditional approaches often focused solely on overt, antagonistic prejudice--what is now termed **hostile sexism**--contemporary research emphasizes the subtle, pervasive nature of these evaluations. Modern frameworks recognize that attitudes frequently involve ambivalence, where positive, often paternalistic, feelings coexist alongside negative or dominating beliefs. This complexity necessitates sophisticated measurement and theoretical models capable of capturing the diverse manifestations of bias, ranging from explicit discriminatory actions to implicit cognitive biases that operate outside conscious awareness, yet still contribute significantly to the maintenance of gender hierarchy.

A key focus in modern psychological analysis is determining how these attitudes function to maintain the status quo. Attitudes toward women often serve a justifying function, rationalizing the existing distribution of power and resources between genders. For instance, beliefs that women are naturally nurturing or better suited for domestic roles, while seemingly complimentary, effectively limit their access to high-status professional positions. Therefore, the investigation into attitudes toward women is fundamentally an exploration of how cultural ideologies translate into individual psychological processes that either support or challenge gender equality, highlighting the powerful interface between personal belief systems and overarching societal norms regarding femininity and masculinity.

Historical and Traditional Perspectives

Historically, attitudes toward women have been heavily influenced by patriarchal systems and essentialist biological determinism, which posited inherent differences in abilities and temperaments that naturally relegated women to subordinate social positions. These traditional perspectives typically emphasized the woman's role within the private sphere--the home and family--stressing virtues such as purity, devotion, and submission. Societal structures, religious

doctrines, and legal systems often codified these attitudes, ensuring that women's primary identity and value derived from their reproductive capacity and their relationships to men (as daughters, wives, or mothers). This historical legacy established a baseline against which later shifts in attitudes, particularly those spurred by industrialization and feminist movements, must be measured.

The 20th century introduced significant challenges to these entrenched traditional attitudes, driven by women's increased participation in the workforce, educational advancements, and the organized pursuit of civil and political rights. While attitudes in Western societies have become substantially more egalitarian regarding women's competence and their right to pursue professional careers, the underlying traditional ideals have proven remarkably persistent. Surveys and psychological studies frequently reveal a disconnect between abstract endorsements of equality and specific attitudes regarding gender roles within the family or high-power professional domains. For example, while many endorse the principle of equal pay, they may simultaneously harbor beliefs that women's primary duty remains domestic, leading to internal conflict and external behavioral inconsistencies.

The concept of the "traditional woman" remains a powerful, though often implicit, anchor in contemporary attitudes. This idealized figure serves as a reference point, defining what is considered appropriate or "normal" feminine behavior. Attitudes tend to be most positive toward women who conform closely to this traditional model--those who are perceived as warm, communal, and dependent. Conversely, attitudes become significantly more negative, often manifesting as hostility or resentment, toward women who challenge these norms, such as those who are highly assertive, career-focused, or politically outspoken. This phenomenon underscores that attitude change has been selective; societies have generally accepted women's entry into public life only insofar as it does not fundamentally disrupt the core tenets of male dominance or the established gendered division of labor.

The Bifurcation of Sexism: Hostile and Benevolent

A monumental development in the psychological understanding of attitudes toward women came with the work of Glick and Fiske, who argued that sexism is not a monolithic construct but rather bifurcates into two distinct, yet correlated, dimensions: **Hostile Sexism (HS)** and **Benevolent Sexism (BS)**. This framework revolutionized the field by acknowledging that negative attitudes toward women are often complemented by seemingly positive, yet ultimately condescending, evaluations. Hostile sexism represents the traditional, overtly negative form of prejudice characterized by antagonistic feelings, beliefs that women are trying to control men, and the justification of male dominance. It is rooted in the perception that women are inferior, manipulative, and undeserving of equal status, often manifesting when women challenge traditional power structures or roles.

Hostile sexism is characterized by clear expressions of dominance and devaluation. Individuals scoring high on HS often endorse statements reflecting the belief that women use sexuality to gain power over men, that they exaggerate problems of discrimination, or that they are overly sensitive. This form of sexism serves to punish women who violate traditional gender roles, often through social exclusion, verbal aggression, or the denial of resources and opportunities. It is the most recognizable form of prejudice, aligning closely with historical definitions of misogyny, and is strongly associated with the endorsement of patriarchal ideology and resistance to policy changes aimed at promoting gender equity in the workplace and political arena.

In contrast, benevolent sexism (BS) is a more insidious and complex form of prejudice, often perceived by both the holder and the recipient as positive or protective. BS consists of attitudes that idealize women as pure, morally superior, and deserving of male protection and adoration, provided they remain within prescribed traditional roles. It is expressed through paternalistic affection, the romanticization of women, and the belief that men should sacrifice themselves to care for women. While seemingly complimentary, BS is fundamentally patronizing; it implies that women are weak, incompetent, and dependent on men for survival and success. This form of sexism effectively keeps women subordinate by restricting their autonomy and justifying their exclusion from demanding or dangerous roles, under the guise of offering care and protection.

Ambivalent Sexism Theory (AST)

Ambivalent Sexism Theory (AST) provides the overarching framework that integrates Hostile Sexism (HS) and Benevolent Sexism (BS), arguing that these two components coexist within individuals and across cultures to maintain gender hierarchy. The theory posits that men (and sometimes women) experience ambivalence toward women because women, as a group, simultaneously fulfill valued roles (e.g., mothers, romantic partners) and pose a threat to male dominance, particularly when they seek power or autonomy. This psychological tension is resolved by applying the two forms of sexism strategically: women who conform to traditional roles are rewarded with BS (protection, adoration), while women who defy those roles are punished with HS (hostility, resentment).

The functional utility of ambivalence is crucial for systemic maintenance. Benevolent sexism acts as a powerful social lubricant, making the unequal status quo seem appealing or natural, particularly to women themselves, who may internalize the idea that dependence offers security and reward. This internalization can lead to decreased ambition or self-efficacy in non-traditional domains. Conversely, hostile sexism acts as the necessary enforcement mechanism, ensuring that challenges to the system are met with sufficient social friction to deter widespread dissent. The combination ensures that the existing power structure is maintained through a combination of reward and punishment, making AST a highly effective model for explaining the persistence of gender inequality despite societal advancements.

Extensive cross-cultural research utilizing the Ambivalent Sexism Inventory (ASI) has demonstrated the robustness of AST. Across dozens of nations, HS and BS are consistently found to be positively correlated, meaning that individuals who score high on hostile sexism also tend to score high on benevolent sexism. Furthermore, the level of ambivalent sexism within a country is a strong predictor of objective measures of gender inequality, such as disparities in education, economic participation, and political representation. This global evidence suggests that ambivalent attitudes toward women are a universal psychological mechanism for justifying and maintaining gender stratification, demonstrating that even seemingly positive attitudes carry significant discriminatory weight when tied to paternalistic beliefs about female dependency.

Psychological Mechanisms Underlying Attitudes

The formation and maintenance of attitudes toward women are driven by a complex interplay of cognitive, motivational, and affective psychological mechanisms. Cognitively, attitudes are heavily reliant on categorization and the use of heuristics, or mental shortcuts. Gender stereotypes, which are generalized beliefs about the attributes of women, serve as powerful cognitive tools that simplify social perception but inevitably lead to overgeneralization and inaccuracy. Once formed, these stereotypes are maintained through mechanisms like selective attention and confirmation bias, where information consistent with the stereotype is readily processed and remembered, while counter-stereotypical information is ignored or dismissed as an exception, reinforcing the existing negative or ambivalent attitude structure.

Motivational mechanisms also play a significant role in attitude endorsement. Theories such as **System Justification Theory** suggest that individuals are motivated to defend and rationalize the existing social order, even if it disadvantages certain groups. Attitudes toward women that emphasize their roles as nurturers or subordinates help justify the current gender hierarchy, reducing feelings of uncertainty or threat associated with societal change. Similarly, **Social Dominance Orientation (SDO)**, a personality trait reflecting a preference for hierarchical social structures, strongly predicts hostile attitudes toward women. Individuals high in SDO are motivated to maintain group-based dominance, viewing women who seek power or equality as direct threats to their preferred social order, thus fueling antagonistic attitudes.

Finally, affective components, or emotions, are central to the intensity and expression of attitudes. Attitudes toward women often involve complex emotional reactions, particularly when gender role violations occur. For instance, women who exhibit high levels of competence in male-dominated fields may elicit envy and resentment (affective components of hostile sexism), leading to social backlash. Conversely, women who are perceived as vulnerable or weak may elicit pity and the desire to protect (affective components of benevolent sexism). Furthermore, certain traditional attitudes are rooted in deep-seated moral or purity concerns, where violations of traditional femininity (e.g., sexual assertiveness) can trigger affective reactions such as disgust, serving as a

powerful emotional mechanism for social control and the enforcement of normative behavior.

The Role of Stereotypes and Social Roles

The content of attitudes toward women is largely dictated by prevailing gender stereotypes, which are systematically mapped out by the **Stereotype Content Model (SCM)**. The SCM proposes that social groups are evaluated along two primary dimensions: competence (perceived capability and intelligence) and warmth (perceived friendliness and trustworthiness). Women, particularly those conforming to traditional roles, are typically stereotyped as high in warmth but low in competence. This specific configuration of stereotypes elicits mixed emotional responses, often leading to pity or passive neglect, rather than outright hostility, unless the woman violates the warmth dimension by being perceived as competitive or cold, in which case the attitude shifts rapidly toward resentment and hostility.

The distribution of these stereotypes directly influences the specific type of sexism expressed. Benevolent sexism thrives on the high-warmth, low-competence stereotype, justifying the need for men to protect and guide women whom they perceive as emotionally rich but functionally incapable of navigating the competitive professional world. Conversely, women who successfully navigate high-competence, male-dominated domains often face the "competent but cold" stereotype, leading to decreased liking and trust, which acts as a powerful barrier to leadership advancement, regardless of objective performance. This stereotypic double bind ensures that women are penalized whether they conform to or defy traditional expectations regarding competence and warmth.

The origin of these pervasive stereotypes is effectively explained by **Social Roles Theory (SRT)**. SRT posits that gender differences in behavior are primarily perceived, not inherent, stemming from the unequal distribution of men and women into different societal roles. Because women historically and currently occupy roles associated with caregiving (e.g., nurses, teachers, homemakers), observers infer that they possess communal traits (warmth). Conversely, because men disproportionately occupy roles associated with power and leadership, observers infer that they possess agentic traits (competence). These role-based inferences solidify into generalized gender stereotypes, which then fuel and justify the creation of prescriptive attitudes--beliefs about how women **should** behave--thereby reinforcing the initial role segregation and perpetuating the cycle of inequality.

Consequences of Negative and Ambivalent Attitudes

The consequences of negative and ambivalent attitudes toward women are far-reaching, impacting individual well-being, organizational dynamics, and overall societal equity. In the professional sphere, these attitudes contribute significantly to the phenomenon of the "glass ceiling" and the

persistent lack of women in leadership positions. Hostile sexism directly blocks opportunities, while benevolent sexism subtly undermines women's advancement by channeling them into less powerful roles, denying them challenging assignments under the guise of protection, or leading evaluators to underestimate their leadership potential due to perceived lack of necessary agentic traits. This creates a systemic barrier where women must consistently outperform men to be judged equally competent.

On an individual psychological level, the internalization of negative or ambivalent attitudes, particularly benevolent sexism, can have detrimental effects on women's mental health and self-perception. Exposure to constant stereotyping, self-objectification resulting from societal focus on appearance, and the pressure to conform to restrictive gender roles contribute to higher rates of anxiety, depression, and lowered self-efficacy, particularly in domains traditionally reserved for men. When women internalize benevolent sexist beliefs, they may voluntarily restrict their ambitions or rely on male partners for critical decisions, thereby reinforcing the very dependency that the attitude structure promotes, leading to a vicious cycle of self-limiting behavior.

Societally, negative and ambivalent attitudes toward women perpetuate gender inequality by providing a psychological and ideological justification for the existing power structure. These attitudes resist policy changes aimed at achieving equity, such as mandatory parental leave or equal representation quotas, which are often dismissed as unnecessary or unfair attempts to tamper with a "natural" order. Furthermore, hostile attitudes are strongly correlated with acceptance of gender-based violence and harassment. The dehumanization inherent in extreme hostile sexism can justify abusive behavior, while even benevolent sexism can excuse controlling behavior in romantic relationships under the guise of protective jealousy, demonstrating the profound and dangerous societal costs of these entrenched attitudes.

Measuring and Addressing Attitudes Toward Women

Accurate measurement is fundamental to understanding and combating attitudes toward women. The most widely used instrument is the **Ambivalent Sexism Inventory (ASI)**, which reliably measures both Hostile Sexism and Benevolent Sexism components. However, measurement faces significant challenges, primarily the issue of social desirability bias, where respondents, aware of social norms promoting equality, may consciously or unconsciously suppress expressions of hostile attitudes. To mitigate this, researchers increasingly employ implicit measures, such as the Implicit Association Test (IAT), which assesses automatic associations between gender groups and attributes (e.g., women and incompetence), providing a less controlled measure of underlying bias.

Addressing and reducing negative attitudes requires multi-pronged intervention strategies targeting both cognitive biases and motivational drivers. Educational interventions are crucial for challenging

stereotypes and correcting misperceptions regarding gender differences. Furthermore, the principles of **Contact Theory** suggest that positive, structured interactions between men and women in contexts of equal status and shared goals can reduce prejudice by breaking down out-group homogeneity and fostering empathy. Effective interventions must also address the motivational roots of sexism, such as implementing programs designed to challenge system justification beliefs and reduce the endorsement of hierarchical values like Social Dominance Orientation.

Ultimately, achieving meaningful social change requires recognizing and dismantling both forms of ambivalent sexism. Interventions focused solely on hostile sexism often fail because benevolent sexism remains unchallenged, continuing to justify gender inequality in a softer, more palatable form. Therefore, successful strategies must explicitly expose the patronizing and restrictive nature of benevolent attitudes. This comprehensive approach involves structural changes, such as promoting equal opportunities and representation, alongside individual interventions aimed at fostering critical self-reflection regarding one's own potentially contradictory attitudes toward women. The goal is to move beyond mere tolerance toward genuine endorsement of gender equity in all spheres of life.

Focus interventions on recognizing the restrictive nature of benevolent sexism, not just the overt negativity of hostile sexism.

Utilize implicit measures alongside self-report scales to capture attitudes resistant to conscious awareness or social desirability pressures.

Promote equal-status, cooperative contact between genders in professional and social settings to reduce stereotyping and enhance mutual respect.