Alcohol Use Discrepancy: Understanding the Risks

Authored by

mohammed looti

November 10, 2025

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammed looti (2025). *Alcohol Use Discrepancy: Understanding the Risks.* Psychepedia. Retrieved from https://psychepedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=21096

Definition and Theoretical Foundation

The concept of **Alcohol Use Discrepancy** (AUD) refers to the psychological state experienced when an individual recognizes a significant mismatch between their current patterns of alcohol consumption and their deeply held personal values, long-term goals, or desired self-image. This discrepancy is not merely a recognition of a problem; rather, it is the subjective, often painful, realization that current behaviors are actively impeding movement toward valued life domains, such as career success, health maintenance, or stable family relationships. From a theoretical standpoint, AUD is rooted in theories of self-regulation and self-consistency, suggesting that humans are inherently motivated to maintain coherence between their actions and their internal standards. When this coherence is shattered by harmful or excessive alcohol use, the resultant tension creates a powerful internal motivational force. Understanding AUD requires moving beyond simple diagnostic criteria to explore the subjective meaning of the behavior relative to the individual's aspirational identity, making it a pivotal construct in clinical psychology and addiction science.

This conceptualization emphasizes the inherent conflict between two opposing forces: the immediate gratification or perceived coping benefits derived from alcohol use, and the long-term detriment to the individual's sense of self-efficacy and achievement of future goals. For instance, an individual who highly values physical fitness and career advancement may engage in heavy weekend drinking that consistently undermines their ability to perform well professionally or adhere to exercise regimens. The greater the perceived gap between the "actual self" (the person who drinks excessively) and the "ideal self" (the person who achieves their goals), the stronger the discrepancy. This internal conflict is crucial because it transforms external pressure--such as family concerns or legal issues--into an internal impetus for change, which is far more sustainable than externally imposed compliance. The strength of the discrepancy is directly proportional to the perceived importance of the violated value or goal, thus necessitating a thorough exploration of the client's hierarchy of values during therapeutic intervention.

Furthermore, AUD serves as a bridge between the recognition of problematic behavior and the commitment to change, often operating within the broader framework of the Transtheoretical Model (Stages of Change). Individuals in the precontemplation or contemplation stages may intellectualize their drinking patterns but fail to connect them personally to their core identity or future trajectory. The deliberate amplification of AUD in therapy aims to shift the client from a defensive or externalized perspective to one of personal responsibility and internal conflict. This focus on internal motivational states distinguishes AUD as a deeply personal and subjective phenomenon, requiring clinicians to adopt an empathetic and non-judgmental stance to facilitate genuine self-exploration. Without the recognition of this fundamental internal discord, efforts toward behavior modification are often superficial and prone to relapse, underscoring the necessity of addressing the underlying value conflict.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

The Role of Cognitive Dissonance

The psychological discomfort generated by Alcohol Use Discrepancy is theoretically analogous to **Cognitive Dissonance**, a concept pioneered by Leon Festinger. Dissonance arises when an individual holds two or more conflicting cognitions, beliefs, or values simultaneously. In the context of AUD, the conflicting cognitions are typically the belief in a personal value (e.g., "I am a responsible parent who prioritizes my children's safety") and the awareness of a behavior that violates that value (e.g., "I frequently drink to the point of impairment while supervising my children"). This internal incompatibility creates a state of psychological tension that the individual is motivated to reduce. The reduction of this dissonance can occur through several pathways, including changing the behavior (reducing alcohol use), changing the cognition (rationalizing the drinking, minimizing the harm), or adding new cognitions (finding external justifications).

When discrepancy is high, the psychological pressure to resolve the conflict becomes intense. Individuals often employ elaborate defense mechanisms to minimize the dissonance, such as denial, minimization, or external attribution. For example, a person may acknowledge that drinking is causing problems but quickly rationalize it by blaming stress at work or relationship issues, effectively shielding the core belief about their valued self-identity from the behavioral evidence. Therapeutic approaches, particularly Motivational Interviewing, strategically prevent these dissonance-reducing maneuvers by reflecting the individual's statements of discrepancy back to them without judgment, making the conflict inescapable. The goal is to ensure that the easiest and most sustainable path to dissonance reduction becomes behavior change, rather than cognitive distortion. This strategic use of dissonance leverages the fundamental human need for self-consistency as a powerful engine for therapeutic movement.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

The intensity of the affective experience associated with cognitive dissonance--feelings of guilt, shame, regret, or anxiety--is a direct measure of the perceived discrepancy. If the discrepancy is acknowledged but the emotional impact is muted, the motivation for change remains low. Conversely, if the individual experiences profound distress when confronting the conflict between their actions and their ideals, the likelihood of entering the preparation or action stage of change increases significantly. Therefore, the therapeutic process often involves techniques designed to heighten the salience of the conflicting cognitions, not to shame the client, but to utilize the natural human aversion to internal inconsistency. By fostering an environment where the client feels safe enough to face this dissonance directly, the clinician helps the client harness this powerful psychological force toward achieving alignment between their behavior and their authentic values.

Discrepancy in Motivational Interviewing (MI)

Alcohol Use Discrepancy is arguably the central mechanism of action within **Motivational Interviewing** (MI), a collaborative, goal-oriented style of communication designed to strengthen

personal motivation for and commitment to a specific goal by eliciting and exploring the person's own reasons for change within an atmosphere of acceptance and compassion. MI posits that sustained change does not occur when an external authority dictates the necessity of stopping a behavior, but rather when the individual discovers and articulates their own intrinsic reasons for making a shift. The primary technique used to achieve this is the deliberate development of discrepancy. The MI practitioner works to help the client see the inconsistencies between their current behaviors and their stated personal goals, thus activating the internal conflict necessary for motivation.

The MI approach utilizes specific communication techniques to elicit statements of discrepancy, often referred to as "change talk." These techniques include the use of importance and confidence rulers, exploring the client's current situation versus their desired future, and employing **reflective listening** that highlights the conflict. For example, a therapist might state, "On one hand, you told me that being present and reliable for your children is the most important thing to you; on the other hand, you mentioned that you frequently miss their school events because you are recovering from heavy drinking. Help me understand how these two things fit together." This gentle but direct juxtaposition forces the client to articulate the discrepancy themselves, which is significantly more impactful than hearing the observation from the clinician. The consistent elicitation and reinforcement of change talk, rooted in the client's own values, gradually shifts the balance from maintaining the status quo to actively pursuing behavioral change.

A core principle of MI, known as "rolling with resistance," is directly related to managing discrepancy. When a clinician confronts a client directly, the client often responds defensively, increasing sustain talk (arguments for maintaining the behavior) and effectively reducing the perceived discrepancy by justifying their actions. MI avoids this confrontational approach, instead using techniques like complex reflections and double-sided reflections to validate the client's current struggles while simultaneously reflecting the stated discrepancy. This non-confrontational stance minimizes the client's need to defend their behavior, allowing the internal discomfort associated with the discrepancy to remain active and motivating. Therefore, the successful application of MI hinges entirely on the clinician's ability to skillfully evoke and amplify the client's self-expressed discrepancy while maintaining the MI spirit of empathy, collaboration, and autonomy.

Manifestations of Alcohol Use Discrepancy

The experience of Alcohol Use Discrepancy manifests in various observable and subjective ways, often serving as critical indicators for clinicians that the client is experiencing internal conflict and may be receptive to change. Subjectively, individuals often report feelings of profound regret, particularly the morning after heavy drinking episodes, coupled with a pervasive sense of guilt or shame regarding broken commitments or damaged relationships. They may articulate a deep

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

frustration with their lack of control, contrasting sharply with their usual self-perception as competent and capable in other areas of life, such as their professional work. This internal turmoil is frequently accompanied by attempts to hide the extent of their drinking, precisely because the behavior conflicts so strongly with the image they wish to project to themselves and others. The internal struggle between the desire for control and the reality of impairment is a hallmark of high AUD.

Behaviorally, the discrepancy is evident in patterns of self-sabotage and inconsistent goal pursuit. For example, an individual may invest significant effort into healthy habits--joining a gym, starting a new diet, committing to educational pursuits--only to have those efforts repeatedly derailed by alcohol consumption. This cyclic pattern of commitment followed by behavioral relapse reinforces the negative self-view and deepens the sense of discrepancy. Furthermore, discrepancies often manifest in interpersonal conflicts, particularly when the client's drinking behavior violates relational agreements or compromises the safety or well-being of family members. The client may value loyalty and trust highly, yet their alcohol-related actions repeatedly erode those very foundations, leading to painful confrontations that serve as potent, albeit sometimes overwhelming, reminders of the behavioral mismatch.

Clinically, the manifestation of AUD can be observed in the client's language patterns. When discrepancy is active, clients often use language that expresses ambivalence, such as stating conflicting desires in the same sentence (e.g., "I know I need to stop drinking to save my marriage, but I also feel like I need it just to relax after work"). They may also use language that reflects a perceived loss of control or identity, expressing confusion about who they are when they are drinking versus when they are sober. Recognizing these linguistic cues is essential for the clinician, as these statements represent windows into the client's internal motivational conflict. The therapist's role is to gently capture and reflect these conflicting statements, transforming vague feelings of unease into concrete and actionable statements of discrepancy that pave the way for explicit goal setting and change planning.

Measuring and Assessing Discrepancy

While Alcohol Use Discrepancy is primarily a subjective internal experience, clinicians utilize several formal and informal assessment tools to gauge its presence and intensity, which informs the tailoring of therapeutic interventions. Formal instruments are often designed to measure the gap between current behavior and stated aspirations or perceived normative standards. However, much of the assessment of AUD relies on qualitative methods integrated into the initial interview process. A key technique is the exploration of the client's personal values and goals, followed by an objective review of how current alcohol use either supports or hinders progress toward those identified aspirations. For example, the therapist might ask the client to list their top three life goals and then detail the specific ways in which their drinking habits have impacted their pursuit of each

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

goal over the past six months, thus quantifying the subjective impact of the discrepancy.

A common informal tool derived from Motivational Interviewing is the use of the **Decisional Balance** exercise, which systematically weighs the pros and cons of both continuing alcohol use and changing the behavior. While this technique assesses ambivalence generally, it specifically highlights discrepancy by forcing the client to juxtapose the immediate benefits of drinking (the "Pros of Use") against the long-term costs that violate core values (the "Cons of Use"). When the costs listed are directly tied to valued life domains--such as health deterioration conflicting with the value of longevity, or relationship strain conflicting with the value of family connection--the resulting cognitive tension effectively measures the strength of AUD. Furthermore, readiness rulers, which ask clients to rate their importance and confidence in changing on a scale of 0 to 10, provide a quick snapshot of the client's motivational state, with low importance scores often indicating a failure to fully internalize the discrepancy.

In clinical practice, assessing discrepancy also involves analyzing the consistency between the client's verbal statements and their non-verbal communication. A client might verbally minimize the severity of their drinking (lowering the perceived discrepancy), but their body language, tone of voice, or expressed emotion when discussing consequences may reveal significant underlying distress and conflict. A high degree of affective distress when discussing the consequences of drinking, particularly when those consequences involve cherished relationships or irreversible health damage, is a strong qualitative indicator that the discrepancy is high and actively motivating. Therefore, effective assessment requires the clinician to be acutely attuned not only to what the client says, but also to how they express the conflict, ensuring a holistic understanding of the internal motivational landscape.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Therapeutic Utilization and Techniques

Harnessing Alcohol Use Discrepancy is a central strategic objective in many evidence-based treatments for substance use disorders. The primary goal of therapeutic utilization is not to eliminate the discrepancy immediately, but rather to sustain it long enough and intensely enough so that the client chooses to resolve the conflict by changing their behavior, rather than by defending or rationalizing the use. Therapists employ structured techniques to highlight the discrepancy in a supportive, non-critical manner. One powerful technique is the **Look Backward/Look Forward** exercise, where the client is asked to describe their life, health, and relationships before heavy drinking started, and then project what their life will look like five years in the future if they continue their current drinking pattern versus if they achieve sobriety. The stark contrast between these two future scenarios often dramatically amplifies the perceived discrepancy between the desired life trajectory and the projected outcome of continued use.

Another effective technique is **Values Clarification**, which involves helping the client identify,

prioritize, and articulate their core life principles (e.g., honesty, creativity, financial security, spiritual growth). Once these values are clearly defined, the therapist guides the client in mapping their current alcohol use behaviors directly against these values, identifying specific instances where drinking led to the violation or neglect of a cherished value. This mapping process makes the abstract concept of "having a problem" concrete and personal. For example, if a client values integrity, the therapist might explore instances where the client lied about their consumption, directly linking the drinking behavior to the violation of their core value. This method personalizes the motivation for change, moving it from externally prescribed requirements to internally driven necessity.

Furthermore, utilizing **Summaries and Reflections** strategically is essential for managing and amplifying AUD. The therapist often concludes a discussion by providing a summary that specifically juxtaposes the client's statements about their values and goals against their statements about their current drinking behavior. This technique, known as an amplified two-sided reflection, brings the conflict into sharp focus without introducing judgment. For example: "So, I hear you saying that your health is paramount and you desperately want to be able to hike mountains again, and yet, you also mentioned that you are currently drinking a twelve-pack nightly which leaves you feeling too sick and exhausted to even walk up a flight of stairs. It sounds like you are standing at a real crossroads between these two important things." By presenting the discrepancy clearly and neutrally, the therapist transfers the responsibility for resolving the conflict back to the client, thereby strengthening their autonomy and commitment to change.

Outcomes and Prognostic Implications

The degree and quality of Alcohol Use Discrepancy demonstrated by a client often serve as a significant prognostic indicator for treatment success, engagement, and long-term sobriety. Research consistently suggests that individuals who exhibit high levels of acknowledged discrepancy--meaning they clearly articulate the conflict between their alcohol use and their personal values--are significantly more likely to enter treatment, remain engaged in the therapeutic process, and achieve positive behavioral change outcomes compared to those who minimize or deny the conflict. This is because high discrepancy provides the internal fuel necessary to overcome the considerable inertia associated with changing deeply ingrained behavioral patterns. When the internal conflict is powerful, the client is less reliant on external contingencies (such as court mandates or family ultimatums) for motivation, leading to more robust and self-directed recovery.

Conversely, low levels of discrepancy, often characterized by pervasive rationalization, external blame, or profound denial, correlate strongly with treatment drop-out, poor adherence to recovery plans, and increased risk of relapse. In these cases, the therapeutic challenge lies in gently developing the discrepancy without triggering excessive resistance or defensiveness. If a client is

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

unable or unwilling to connect their drinking to violations of their cherished values, the foundation for intrinsic motivation is absent. Therefore, the initial phase of treatment often focuses exclusively on reflective listening and value exploration, recognizing that until the discrepancy is internalized, specific skill-building or relapse prevention techniques will likely be ineffective. The goal here is to shift the client from feeling externally pressured to internally compelled to change.

Furthermore, the successful resolution of AUD is often a marker of genuine psychological growth, signifying that the client has achieved greater self-awareness and alignment between their internal world and their external actions. Sustained recovery is characterized not just by abstinence, but by the ongoing maintenance of this behavioral and value congruence. Relapse prevention strategies frequently incorporate techniques to periodically revisit core values and assess for any re-emerging discrepancy, ensuring that the client remains vigilant about potential slips that could lead to a renewed conflict. Thus, the concept of AUD is not merely a tool for initiating change, but a continuous mechanism for monitoring and maintaining psychological equilibrium throughout the recovery journey.

Cultural and Contextual Factors

The experience and expression of Alcohol Use Discrepancy are significantly mediated by cultural norms, societal expectations, and contextual factors. In cultures where heavy drinking is highly normalized or even celebrated, the threshold for recognizing a discrepancy between behavior and values may be significantly higher. An individual in such a context might require much more severe consequences before concluding that their drinking conflicts with their self-identity, simply because their behavior aligns with the perceived social norm. Conversely, in cultures with strong prohibitions against intoxication, even moderate use might trigger a significant discrepancy, particularly if the individual holds strong religious or community values emphasizing sobriety and self-control. Clinicians must therefore be culturally sensitive, understanding that the criteria for what constitutes a "discrepancy" are not universal but are filtered through the client's unique socio-cultural lens.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM

Contextual factors, such as socioeconomic status (SES) and access to resources, also influence how AUD manifests and is addressed. Individuals facing severe structural barriers, such as homelessness or chronic unemployment, may struggle to prioritize abstract values (like long-term career success) over immediate needs (like coping with daily stress). In such cases, the discrepancy may center less on ideal aspirations and more on immediate survival goals, such as maintaining physical safety or avoiding legal consequences. A therapist working with a client experiencing poverty might focus on the discrepancy between alcohol use and the client's immediate goal of securing stable housing, rather than focusing on abstract values related to retirement planning. This necessity highlights that the identification of discrepancy must always be relevant and meaningful to the client's specific life circumstances, requiring a flexible and

individualized approach.

Finally, gender roles and family structure play a critical role in shaping AUD. For example, a woman who highly values her role as a primary caregiver may experience an intense discrepancy when her drinking impairs her ability to care for her children, leading to profound guilt and motivation for change. In contrast, a man whose identity is strongly tied to professional success may experience discrepancy primarily when alcohol use threatens his job security or career advancement. Recognizing these gendered and familial influences allows the therapist to tailor the exploration of values and the articulation of discrepancy to resonate powerfully with the client's most salient social roles and responsibilities. The power of AUD lies in its personalization, and cultural competence ensures that the discrepancy identified is truly central to the client's self-concept and motivational framework.

ARABPSYCHOLOGY.COM