

# Addiction-Like Eating: Causes, Signs & Treatment

Authored by  
**mohammed loot**

November 4, 2025

## RECOMMENDED CITATION

mohammed loot (2025). *Addiction-Like Eating: Causes, Signs & Treatment*. Psychepedia.  
Retrieved from <https://psychepedia.arabpsychology.com/?p=18987>

## Addiction-Like Eating Behaviors

Addiction-Like Eating Behaviors (ALEB) refers to a constellation of compulsive consumption patterns that functionally and phenomenologically resemble the criteria used to diagnose substance use disorders (SUDs). This concept has gained significant traction within psychology and neuroscience as researchers seek to understand why some individuals experience an uncontrollable urge to consume highly palatable foods (HPFs)--those rich in sugar, fat, and salt--despite persistent desires to moderate intake or avoid negative health consequences. The term "addiction-like" is deliberately employed to capture the behavioral similarities without necessarily confirming a full neurological or diagnostic equivalence to classic substance addiction, a distinction that remains a subject of ongoing scientific debate. ALEB is characterized fundamentally by a perceived loss of control over eating and the continuation of consumption despite experiencing distress or impairment in major life domains, such as physical health, psychological well-being, or social functioning.

The emergence of ALEB as a robust research construct reflects a growing recognition that highly processed foods are engineered to maximize reward and bypass natural satiety mechanisms, potentially engaging the brain's reward circuitry in ways analogous to addictive substances. Unlike traditional eating disorders, which focus primarily on weight, shape, or compensatory behaviors, ALEB focuses specifically on the qualitative experience of consuming certain foods, emphasizing the compulsive, craving-driven nature of the behavior. Importantly, ALEB can manifest across the weight spectrum, affecting individuals who are classified as normal weight, overweight, or obese, although the prevalence rates are significantly higher among those struggling with obesity and related metabolic disorders. This framework provides a crucial lens for understanding treatment resistance and relapse in dietary interventions, suggesting that simple caloric restriction may be insufficient when underlying addictive processes are driving consumption.

The core features that define the ALEB phenotype often mirror the diagnostic criteria outlined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) for SUDs. These behavioral manifestations provide the basis for current assessment tools and include specific, measurable components that distinguish compulsive overeating from typical hedonic consumption.

**Intense Craving:** Experiencing overwhelming urges to consume specific, typically highly processed foods, often irrespective of physiological hunger cues.

**Loss of Control:** The inability to stop eating once consumption has begun, frequently resulting in eating significantly more than intended.

**Tolerance:** The need to consume progressively larger amounts of the trigger food, or to increase the frequency of consumption, to achieve the same level of satisfaction or reward experienced previously.

**Withdrawal Symptoms:** Experiencing negative physical or affective symptoms (e.g., irritability,

anxiety, restlessness, headaches) when the trigger foods are reduced or eliminated from the diet.

**Use Despite Harm:** Continuing to consume trigger foods even when aware that they are contributing to significant physical health problems (e.g., diabetes, hypertension) or psychological distress.

## The Conceptual Framework: Food Addiction vs. ALEB

The development of the conceptual framework surrounding compulsive eating behaviors initially centered on the more rigid term, "Food Addiction" (FA), popularized largely through the creation of the Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS). While FA explicitly attempts to apply the full addiction model to eating--suggesting a neurobiological process akin to dependence on alcohol or narcotics--the term ALEB offers a broader and less pathologizing descriptor that focuses on the observable behavioral pattern rather than demanding definitive proof of neurochemical dependence on a specific food component. This distinction is critical because food, unlike substances of abuse, is essential for survival, making complete abstinence impossible and complicating the application of traditional addiction treatment protocols.

The primary debate hinges on whether the addiction is to the actual substance (e.g., refined sugar, specific fats) or to the behavior of bingeing itself, which provides temporary relief from negative emotional states. Critics of the pure Food Addiction model argue that while highly palatable ingredients may activate reward pathways, the functional impairment seen in ALEB is often more closely tied to emotional dysregulation and impulse control deficits, which are exacerbated by the availability and intense reinforcement properties of modern processed foods. ALEB acknowledges this complexity, recognizing that the compulsive behavior is driven by a combination of hedonic hunger, impaired inhibitory control, and learned associations between food consumption and emotional comfort.

There is significant conceptual and empirical overlap between ALEB and established eating disorders, particularly **Binge Eating Disorder (BED)**. Both involve recurrent episodes of eating objectively large amounts of food accompanied by a sense of loss of control. However, ALEB measures the qualitative experience of addiction criteria (craving, withdrawal) specifically related to the consumption of certain foods, whereas BED is defined by the frequency and quantity of the eating episodes. Research demonstrates that a substantial majority of individuals diagnosed with BED also meet criteria for ALEB as measured by the YFAS, suggesting that the addictive phenotype represents a particularly severe and complicated subset of binge eating. Nevertheless, ALEB can also be observed in individuals who do not meet the full diagnostic threshold for BED, indicating that the addiction-like symptoms represent a distinct clinical vulnerability that merits specialized attention.

## Neurobiological Underpinnings

The neurobiology of ALEB is centered on the disruption and dysregulation of the brain's reward and executive control systems, mirroring changes observed in classic substance use disorders. The primary mechanism involves the mesolimbic dopamine pathway, often referred to as the reward pathway. Highly palatable foods, especially those high in sugar and fat, trigger a robust release of dopamine in the **nucleus accumbens** (NAc). This intense dopamine surge reinforces the consumption behavior, creating a powerful positive feedback loop. Over time, repeated excessive stimulation leads to neuroadaptation, where the brain becomes less sensitive to natural rewards and requires increasingly greater stimulation (more food) to achieve the same level of satisfaction, a process integral to the development of tolerance.

Crucially, ALEB involves significant impairment in the cognitive control regions of the brain, predominantly the prefrontal cortex (PFC). The PFC is responsible for executive functions, including decision-making, working memory, and, most relevantly, inhibitory control. Studies using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) frequently reveal reduced activation or structural changes in the PFC among individuals with high ALEB scores, particularly during tasks requiring the suppression of impulses or the evaluation of long-term consequences. This weakened inhibitory control means that the individual is less able to override the powerful, dopamine-driven urges originating from the reward system, leading to the characteristic loss of control that defines the behavior. The imbalance between an overactive reward system and an underactive control system drives the compulsive cycle.

Beyond the central nervous system, hormonal and peripheral signals also contribute significantly to the neurobiological framework of ALEB. The interaction between gut hormones and the brain plays a role in shifting eating from homeostatic (energy-driven) to hedonic (pleasure-driven) consumption. Hormones such as **ghrelin** (the hunger hormone) may show altered signaling, promoting food-seeking behavior even when the individual is calorically replete. Furthermore, chronic stress and associated elevated levels of cortisol can interact with the reward pathway, increasing the desirability of HPFs as a form of self-medication or stress reduction. This complex interplay ensures that the compulsive drive is rooted in both innate physiological needs and highly learned, powerfully reinforced hedonic desires.

## Psychological and Behavioral Markers

The subjective experience of ALEB is often dominated by the marker of **loss of control**. This is not merely a failure of willpower but a profound psychological experience where the individual feels compelled to eat specific foods against their conscious desire or plan. This loss of control frequently manifests in specific scenarios, such as continuing to eat long past the point of physical discomfort or purchasing trigger foods despite having removed them from the house just hours

before. The discrepancy between the individual's long-term health goals and their immediate, powerful consumption behavior generates significant distress, shame, and feelings of failure, further complicating their relationship with food.

Emotional dysregulation is a central psychological driver of ALEB. Many individuals report using HPFs as a primary strategy for coping with negative affective states, including loneliness, frustration, boredom, anxiety, and depression. This pattern establishes a powerful negative reinforcement loop: the food temporarily alleviates the unpleasant emotions, reinforcing the behavior despite the negative long-term outcomes. Consequently, the individual becomes reliant on food for emotional stabilization, and any attempt to restrict intake or manage difficult emotions without food can trigger intense cravings or a rebound binge. This reliance highlights the need for treatment strategies that address underlying emotional vulnerabilities rather than focusing solely on dietary change.

The behavioral markers of tolerance and withdrawal, while adapted for an eating context, are crucial for distinguishing ALEB severity. Tolerance manifests as a diminished response to the reward properties of the food, requiring increased quantities or higher frequency of consumption to achieve the desired effect--the "high." Withdrawal symptoms occur when the trigger food is restricted or eliminated. These symptoms are typically affective and somatic: intense irritability, anxiety, restlessness, difficulty concentrating, or even mild physical complaints like headaches or fatigue. These symptoms mimic the withdrawal syndromes of substance use and provide a compelling behavioral explanation for why attempts at dieting or restrictive eating frequently fail, as the individual is driven to consume the trigger food simply to alleviate the dysphoria associated with abstinence.

## Measurement and Assessment Tools

The operationalization of ALEB relies heavily on specialized psychometric tools designed to translate the DSM criteria for substance use disorders into the context of eating behavior. The most widely adopted and validated instrument is the **Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS)**, developed by Dr. Ashley Gearhardt and colleagues. The YFAS assesses the presence and severity of addiction-like eating behaviors over the preceding 12 months, covering criteria such as tolerance, withdrawal, loss of control, use despite harm, and significant distress. The scale categorizes individuals based on the number of criteria met and the presence of clinically significant impairment, providing a quantitative measure of the addictive phenotype.

The original YFAS has been refined into the YFAS 2.0 to align more closely with the updated criteria in the DSM-5, which integrates abuse and dependence into a single spectrum of substance use disorder severity. The YFAS 2.0 provides greater clarity and improved psychometric properties, allowing researchers and clinicians to consistently identify individuals exhibiting severe

ALEB. Although the YFAS is the gold standard for measuring this construct, it is essential to recognize its limitations. Critics argue that the YFAS items, particularly those related to loss of control and distress, may primarily be measuring the severity of **Binge Eating Disorder** rather than a unique neurobiological addiction, potentially inflating prevalence rates among clinical eating disorder populations. Therefore, research often supplements YFAS scores with measures of impulsivity, craving intensity (e.g., the Food Cravings Questionnaire), and objective behavioral data.

In clinical settings, comprehensive assessment must extend beyond self-report scales to include structured clinical interviews. These interviews are vital for determining the degree of functional impairment and distress associated with the eating behavior. Clinicians must differentiate between high hedonic enjoyment of food and the compulsive, uncontrollable drive characteristic of ALEB. Key interview components focus on identifying specific trigger foods, the nature of withdrawal symptoms upon restriction, and the extent to which the eating behavior has led to significant interpersonal or occupational problems, providing a nuanced understanding of the individual's lived experience with their compulsive consumption patterns.

## Clinical Implications and Comorbidity

The presence of ALEB carries significant clinical implications across both metabolic and psychiatric health domains. There is a robust and consistent correlation between high ALEB scores and elevated Body Mass Index (BMI), suggesting that the addictive phenotype is a strong contributor to the development and maintenance of obesity. However, ALEB is not synonymous with obesity; individuals with normal weight can exhibit severe addiction-like eating, demonstrating that this is a behavioral vulnerability that transcends physical size. Yet, for those with obesity, ALEB often predicts greater difficulty in achieving and maintaining weight loss, as the core drive to consume HPFs is rooted in compulsive urges that overcome standard dietary adherence strategies.

Comorbidity with other psychiatric conditions is extremely high among individuals reporting ALEB symptoms, further complicating diagnosis and treatment planning. The most common co-occurring conditions include:

**Binge Eating Disorder (BED):** As noted, ALEB symptoms are highly prevalent in BED populations, suggesting that the addictive component may drive the severity and chronicity of the binge episodes.

**Major Depressive Disorder (MDD):** The use of food for emotional regulation often leads to a cycle where the initial consumption temporarily lifts mood, but subsequent shame and weight gain exacerbate depressive symptoms.

**Anxiety Disorders:** Anxiety is frequently a trigger for compulsive consumption, and the withdrawal symptoms experienced during dietary restriction can intensify baseline anxiety levels.

**Substance Use Disorders (SUDs):** There is evidence of cross-sensitization, meaning individuals with a history of alcohol or drug addiction may be more vulnerable to developing ALEB due to shared neurobiological pathways.

The recognition of ALEB is critical because it explains why standard approaches, such as simple caloric counting or general nutritional counseling, often fail. Standard behavioral weight loss programs typically assume a rational, controlled approach to eating. When ALEB is present, the individual is operating under a compulsive drive, meaning that treatment must shift focus from simply managing diet to addressing the underlying addiction process, including craving management, trigger avoidance, and relapse prevention strategies adapted from SUD treatment models. Failure to address the addictive component often results in high dropout rates and rapid weight regain following intervention.

## Treatment Approaches for ALEB

Effective treatment for ALEB requires an integrated approach that targets the behavioral, cognitive, and neurobiological factors driving the compulsive consumption. Psychological interventions adapted from addiction treatment models have shown the most promise. **Cognitive Behavioral Therapy adapted for Addiction (CBT-A)** is a cornerstone, focusing on identifying the specific environmental and emotional triggers that precede compulsive eating episodes. Techniques emphasize developing robust coping mechanisms for managing intense cravings, challenging the cognitive distortions that justify consumption, and building alternative, non-food-related strategies for emotional regulation. The goal is to break the learned association between negative affect and food consumption.

Given the strong neurobiological basis of ALEB, pharmacological interventions are also being explored, often drawing upon medications used to treat other forms of addiction or impulse control disorders. Medications targeting the dopamine and opioid reward systems, such as the combination of **naltrexone and bupropion**, have shown efficacy in reducing intense food cravings and mitigating the reward derived from eating. Furthermore, medications used for impulse control, such as topiramate, may help reduce the frequency and severity of loss-of-control eating episodes. However, it is crucial to note that pharmaceutical treatments for ALEB are often used off-label or in the context of treating co-occurring BED or obesity, and dedicated research into specific ALEB pharmacological protocols is ongoing.

A significant challenge in treating ALEB lies in developing appropriate dietary guidelines. In classic SUD treatment, abstinence is the goal; however, food is essential for life. Clinicians often debate whether to recommend complete abstinence from specific trigger foods (e.g., highly refined sugar or fast food) or controlled moderation. For individuals with severe ALEB, moderation often proves impossible due to the loss of control and intense cravings induced by even small exposures.

Therefore, a treatment strategy that emphasizes the elimination of the most potent, specific trigger foods, treating them akin to an addictive substance, is often necessary, complemented by psychoeducation on how to build a sustainable diet based on whole, unprocessed foods that do not trigger the addictive cycle. This demands a highly individualized and supportive approach to manage the severe withdrawal symptoms that may accompany the elimination phase.

## Future Directions in Research

Future research endeavors in ALEB must prioritize the refinement of diagnostic boundaries and the elucidation of underlying genetic and environmental risk factors. There is a continuing need for longitudinal studies that track individuals from childhood through adulthood to identify early life markers, such as exposure to highly palatable foods during critical developmental periods, that may predispose individuals to the addiction-like phenotype. Further investigation into specific genetic polymorphisms that affect dopamine signaling, opioid receptor function, and stress reactivity will be essential for developing personalized risk assessment and targeted prevention strategies.

From a clinical perspective, research must focus on establishing clearer demarcation criteria that rigorously differentiate ALEB from severe hedonic overeating and high-frequency Binge Eating Disorder. The current reliance on the YFAS, while useful, requires validation against objective biomarkers, such as neural activity measured during fMRI or specific metabolic markers, to confirm that ALEB represents a distinct pathology rather than merely a severe expression of an existing eating disorder. This clarification could potentially lead to the inclusion of an addiction-like eating disorder category in future iterations of international diagnostic manuals, thereby standardizing treatment protocols and improving access to specialized care.